IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.GOPAL REDDY

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 16880 of 2004

Between:

1 Konala Narendra Reddy, S/o. Markandeya Reddy,
R/o. Penugonda, Penugonda Mandal, West Godavari District.

2 Konala Hymavathi S/o. Markandeya Reddy,
R/o. Penugonda, Penugonda Mandal, West Godavari District.

3 Nooli Veera Venkata Prabhakara Rao, S/o. Veerabhadra Rao
R/o. Penugonda, Penugonda Mandal, West Godavari District.

4 Nooli Rangaiah S/o. Satyanaryana Murthy,
R/o. Penugonda, Penugonda Mandal, West Godavari District.

5 Nolli Satyanarayana Murthy, S/o. Laxmana Swamy,
R/o. Penugonda, Penugonda Mandal, West Godavari District.

6 Nooli Lakshmana Swamy S/o. Kanakarao
R/o. Penugonda, Penugonda Mandal, West Godavari District.

7 Grandhi Venkata Lakshmi Samaraju Mutyalu, W/o. Late Anjaneyulu
R/o. Penugonda, Penugonda Mandal, West Godavari District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1. The District Collector, West Godavari District, Eluru.

2. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Kovvur, West Godavari District.

3. The Mandal Revenue Officer, Penugonda Mandal, West Godavari District.

4. The Penugonda Gram Panchayat, Penugonda, Penugonda Mandal, West

Godavari District., Rep. by its Secretary.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to Issue a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction declaring the action of the Respondents in demolishing the buildings of testament petitioners bearing Assessment No.17 & 18 and D.No.2-115/1, 3-8, 3-8/2, 1-48, D.No.1-90, 1-64 and 1-65 situated at main road, Tethali Vari Street Corner, Gandhi Road, Penugonda Village, Penugonda Mandal, West Godavari District as illegal, arbitrary and violative of principles of natural justice and also viilative of Art. 14, 16 and 300-A of Constitution of India and issue consequential direction to the respondents not to demolish the same.

Counsel for the Petitioners:MR.K.CHIDAMBARAM

Counsel for the Respondents 1 to 3: GP FOR REVENUE

Counsel for the Respondent No.4: None appeared.

The Court at the stage of admission made the following ORDER:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for respondent
Nos.1 to 3. None appears for respondent No.4.

The gravamen of the complaint in this writ petition is that the respondents without following the due procedure as contemplated under the law and as directed by this Court, are proceeding for widening of the road and respondent
Nos.3 and 4 with their subordinates started laying identification marks on either side of the main road and internal roads from 18th September, 2004. In view of the same, the petitioners moved this Court by way of present writ petition contending that without following the due procedure and issuing notice to them, the respondents cannot proceed with the demolition of structures for the purpose of widening of 50 ft road.

The learned Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for respondent Nos.1 to 3 produced before this Court a letter addressed by the Mandal Revenue Officer, Penugonda, to his office and the same is placed on record, wherein, it is stated that a meeting with local public, who are going to be affected in removal of encroachments on the main road by the Revenue Divisional Officer, Kovvur, Sarpanch, Penugonda Gram Panchayath and officials of other Departments was held on 10-09-2004 and
35 people attended the said meeting and given their consent to remove their encroachments within 34 ft. width and the Survey Team measured main road in the presence of the concerned building owners/representatives on behalf of the owners and marked 34 ft. width. Most of the encroachers/occupants voluntarily agreed to remove their encroachments up to the commitment i.e. within 34 ft. width. The minor part of the building corners and edges (i.e. Sun shades, projections, front elevations only) of the petitioners are being affected within one feet to one and half feet width

only.

In view of the same, though the petitioners voluntarily agreed to remove the building corners and edges (i.e. Sun shades, projections, front elevations) etc., the respondents have to follow the due procedure even for removing the same by issuing necessary notice to the petitioners and if any persons voluntarily not agreed to remove the encroachments, it is open for the respondents to remove the same by following the due procedure.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

28th SEPTEMBER, 2004.

kvni

To

1 The District Collector, West Godavari District, Eluru.
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer, Kovvur, West Godavari District.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Penugonda Mandal, West Godavari District.
4 The Penugonda Gram Panchayat, Penugonda, Penugonda Mandal, West Godavari District., Rep. by its Secretary.

5 2CCs to the G.P for Revenue, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad(O.U.T).

6 2CD copies